Previous references

1911-12
(Supl)

File No, 34994

Serial Nos. 1-5

Later references

Law 40-38-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 156

Part B for February 1913

SUBJECT

Complaint of Lechayyo & other merchants of the Municipal Municipality re levy of octroi duty outside the municipal limits.

1. Pet from Lechayyo & others of Municipal B 13-6-12 appeal against the order of the President of the Municipalities in the Tumkur Dist directing the levy of octroi duty outside the municipal limits of Municipal.
2. To D.C. Tumkur - No 100.71 of 12-6-12 forwards the above pet for report
3. From D.C. Tumkur - No 369 dy 9-7-12 submits report called for

G.R.S. 56

4 To D.C. Families of Bylaws of other Depts: No 5891-89 6-2-43 directs that the Ind administration may be considered to have been practically shifted to the camps & removed to the other Bylaws the desirability of temporarily extending the time limits of towns evacuated by plague

5. To Peter Seebaas of Bylaws Smith No 5899-89 6-2-43, informing that Govt see no reason to interfere in the matter.

H. Hallows
26/3/43

7

GRS 11] 191

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Jud)

NOTES

File No 349

FILE HEADING

Complaint of Seetanga & other merchants of the Kurnagal Municipality, Imposition against the levy of octroi duty outside the municipal limits

From The appellants through their Advocate Sri K Rangaswamy

No Dated 13 6 12 Received 30 6 12

Diary No 706 | Enclos | Spare Copies | Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Complaints against the order of the President of the Municipality in the Junction of directing the levy of octroi duty outside the municipal limits of Kurnagal

NOTES AND ORDERS

2) Subs for orders with draft
RS 6/9 MW
18/6/12 83

3) Issue
19/6/12 24-6-12 Mlle

4) Jo by Conf Junction No 100 dt 29 & forwards the above two ...

92

NOTES—First Sheet

GPB—80 000—12 8 11

G R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No. 349 of 191/

Serial No 5

5) From the Deputy Comm^r. Trichinopoly Dt^t. No. 31 d/9 7 12 (D 5-33) States that during the period from October 1911 to March 1912 when plague prevailed in Kulitalai town, people including merchants lived in sheds at a small distance outside the limits; that the usual municipal services such as lighting & conservancy were provided in the localities wherein they had put up sheds, that rent was levied from merchants who carried on trade in the sheds, that it appears to him that the order appealed against is just & equitable & that the technical objection taken by the appellants could have been overcome if the municipal limits had been temporarily extended so as to embrace the area occupied by the sheds

6) The paper under disposal fully explains the facts of the case & the circumstances which justify the action taken by the President.

NOTE--Contin. sheet.

GPB—20,000—23-1-12

R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No. 4[illegible] of 191[illegible]

Serial No

Idemnity is a non-regulation measure. There appears to be no objection for considering that the limits of the measure had been extended to cover the area occupied by the sheds, as the residents in sheds derived the further benefit of the 1st administration. If approved the petitioners may be informed that Govt see no reason to interfere with the Dy Comrs order.

7) The advisability of temporarily extending the 1st limits of towns evacuated by plague, so as to include a reasonable & controllable area occupied by sheds may be commended to the Dy Comrs of other Dts.

8) Subs for orders

TLB

26/11/12 9) The circumstances under which & the reasons for, the order passed by the Dy Comr regarding the levy of octroi on cloth merchants while the people were living in the sheds during plague season are fully explained

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

GYE—66,000—15-C-12.

R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jud File No. 349 of 1911

Serial No.

in the letter under disposal It is clear that the order of the Dy Comr was perfectly fair & equitable Because people were living in the sheds, Municipal service could not be suspended & at the time of the epidemic, Mt agency has to be more vigilant in fact as pointed out by the present Dy Comr of Tumkur, the Mty could not afford to deprive itself of one of its chief sources of revenue owing to the over-ingenious arguments of the merchants based upon some technical point The order may therefore be justified on the ground that, though people were living in sheds outside the Mt limits, the Mt services and were not suspended & that the Mt income could not be foregone

10) There is another ground also on which the order, it is submitted may be justified Octroi is levied on certain articles brought for consumption or use Order namely, the mere bringing in of the clothes &c by a merchant or a trader does not constitute Consumption or use Consumption takes place slowly & gradually, as the articles are being sold

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

GTE—65,000—15-C-12.

J R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jud File No. 349 of 1911

Serial No

on retail by the merchants, for the sake of convenience, octroi is realised as soon the articles are brought in for consumption. Thus if no octroi is levied, as contended by the merchants, because the cloths were brought in while they were temporarily living outside the Municipal area, they could not sell the articles in the Municipal area, after they re-enter come back to the town the levy of octroi is just-picture — because the consumption of the articles may might be and perhaps did take place to a great extent inside the Municipal area after re-occupation of the Town

11) The petrs may therefore be informed that Govt see no reason to interfere, as the order appealed against is substantially just & equitable & approved, the attention of Dy Comr may be invited to the suggestion made by the Dy Comr of Jhelum about extending the Municipal limits temporarily in such cases, so as to give no room for any technical objection regarding the levy of octroi within Municipal limits

L 20 Oct

Sd
5: 8/12

NOTE – Contin sheet.

GRB—20,000—20 1 12

5

6

[7 R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ind File No. 349 of 1911

Serial No

12) [illegible]

13) [illegible]

14) The questions appear to be such as [illegible] for from legal practitioners. I would suggest that of the 2nd Counsellor sent for. It may be referred unofficially to him for a Vocate for opinion before orders are issued

2nd Counsellor

15) [illegible]

16) Forwarded unofficially to Govt advocate for favor of his opinion.

Note—Contd. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-4-12.

7 R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 349 of 1911

Serial No

E. O. 14.

W 27/9/12

3:62-

(17) The Municipal Regulation of 1906 has not been extended to Kurnool. Under para 14 of the Chief Court's Proceedings dated 27th Sept 1875 - which appears to be still in force in the Non-regulation Municipalities - Octroi is leviable on articles of local consumption - In the peculiar circumstances of the present case it may be taken that the Municipal administration was practically confined to the Camps in the vicinity vicinity of the Kurnool Town and I agree therefore with the Dy Comr that the levy of octroi was substantially justifiable.

2 - The suggestion regarding the temporary inclusion of Municipal areas in cases of the kind now under discussion seems also deserving of consideration.

25/9/12

Norm—Contin. sheet.

GPB—61,000—17-8-12.

[4 R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol File No. 349 of 1911

Serial No

18) Submitted for orders.
TLS 69
27/11/12
(Sec'y) SPH 28/9/12

19) Please put up draft accordingly
EB 5/10
SPH 5/10/12

20) Draft submitted
TLS 69
7/10/12
Sec'y SPH 8/10/12

21) Draft in accordance with the views of the Govt advocate as submitted.

22) I may however say with due deference to the opinion of the Govt advocate that I am unable to agree with the view taken of the law as to the strict legality of the levy in question.

2nd Counsel
2/11/12

23) They have had the benefit-

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

GPB—dl,000—17-8-12

Re draft

The order for costs in this case appears to be unparalleled except for being printed

Draft order may be made on the draft

12/13

yes
12/13

[K. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Judicial File No. 369 of 1911-12

Serial No.

must reinforce by public the double legal difficulty in the case, but the question may be decided from an equitable point of view.

24) I have also had the opinion of Mr. Aiyar.

Mr. 11/12

25) As the principle which is decided in this case is rather an important one I am disposed to submit for the approval of the Dewan Sahib

70
14 11 12

25
13/11
SR
14.11.12

26) I agree with the 2nd Councillor. The papers may show that the proper 2nd Councillor also.

25-11-13 RC

Put these papers before the Council
Hon
27/11/13.

27) 2nd Councillor

28) Decree the order may issue

25
25/11
Issue 2711

29) Issued by steps

25
31.11

30/11
Issue 30/11

Note—Comin. sheet.

GPS—34,490—17-8-12.

[R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No. 349 of 1911

Serial No.	
4	30) To DY Com Jumkhandi } No. 5891-8 dt 6.2.13 By Comr of other Divs } directs that the Jud administration may be considered to have been practically stopped by the Combo & Commands of the other DY Cmrs the desirability of temporarily evacuating the Jud Courts of towns evacuated by plague —
5	31) To Patwardhan Sitara — No. 5899 dt 6.2.13 informs that Govt see no reason to interfere in the matter

Note—Comds. check.

GPS—45,000—11-6-15.

D 7406 91

Ind 349 – 11

Appeal petition of

Seetaya & other

merchants of the

Kurnigal Circuit

Inwards of

Found in the petition

box

Advocate Mr. M

Nanjundaiah

Complaint against

the order of the Dy

Commr. of Income

directing the levy of

octroi duty under the

Act limits of Kunigal

R.J.G.

18/6/

56

8 7406

In

The Government of Mysore

Appeal no of 1911-12

Appellants —

(1) Seetaya
(2) Siddaramiah
(3) Venkategowda
(4) Venkatachellah
(5) Seemiah
(6) Thimmappa Setty
(7) Ramaswamy —
(8) Samiah
(9) Appajiah
(10) Thimmappa —
(11) Puttappaji
(12) Narasojirao
(13) Venkatakrishnaiya
(14) Ramaswamy —
(15) Narayanaswami
(16) Ammiah Setty
(17) Rangaiah

Merchants residing in Municipal Kasaba Tumkur Dist.

Respondent

Mel 349-11
81

The Municipality of Municipal Tumkur Dist represented by its President.

The above named appellants beg to appeal against the order dated 14-3-1912 of the President of the Municipal municipality directing the levy of octroi only outside the limits of the municipality on the following grounds. — viz —

(1) The appellants vacated the town of Municipal in Nov 1911 on account of plague, and were living in sheds erected outside in Krishnarajapura Agrahara, outside the limits of the Municipal municipality till they reoccupied the town in May 1912

2 The appellants were summoned to pay octroi duty on clothes brought to the shade and on their disputing the right of the municipality to levy octroi duty outside the limits of the municipality, they were threatened with various proceedings, several notices being served on them. Some bundles of clothes belonging to some of the appellants in transit on the high roads outside the municipal limits were forcibly taken possession of by the respondents, who refused to deliver them to their owners till duty was paid on them, and threatened their sale in default of payment of duty.

3 The levy of octroi duty on articles which were being conveyed to the shade situated outside the municipal limits, or on articles which were found outside the municipal limits is against law, as a municipality has no power to levy octroi duty outside its limits.

4 The order of the President of the Hungal municipality directing the levy of octroi duty outside the limits of the Hungal municipality, and the forcible attachment of [illegible] of

of clothes by the respondents while in transit on the high roads, and the forcible collection of octroi duty outside municipal limits is also unlawful

5' Therefore the appellants pray that the above order of the President of the Kunigal municipality dated 14-3-1912 may be set aside, and the octroi duty forcibly collected by the municipality or paid under protest by appellants may be ordered to be refunded to them

6 Some of the appellants had filed an appeal in January 1912 before the President of the Kunigal municipality disputing the right of the municipality to levy octroi duty outside its municipal limits. No notice is taken of the appeal and the order now appealed from is passed on 14-3-1912 after the filing of the appeal. So the appellants are not in a position to know whether they are bound by this order of the President as regards their appeal

appeal also. So they have now finally appealed to Government, and pray that the Government may take speedy steps in the matter.

A copy of the order is herewith produced

13 6 1912



No 57

Execution 349-11
9/11

IN THE COURT OF THE ~~TUMKUR~~

ಸನ 1911—1912 ನೇ ಇನವಿ

ಮೆ॥ ಹೆಚ್ ವೆಂಕಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ, ಬಿ ಎ ಬಿ ಯೆಲ್., ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್‌ರವರಿಗೆ.

ಒರಿಸಳೊಟ್ಟ ಸಕಾಲತೆನಾಮೆ

ಈ ಪೊಳಪ್ಪಡಿಮುಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಪ್ರಶ್ನೆರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲು ಹೊಂದಪಕ್ಕ ಒಗ್ಯ್ಯಾ ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಪಕ್ಕ ತಿಕ್ಕೀವೊಬಲಿಗೆ ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ನೆಡಿಪಪಕ್ಕ ಒಗ್ಯ್ಯಾ ದಸ್ತೈಪಜು ಪಗ್ಯೆರೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀತಿ ಕೊಡುಪದಕ್ಕ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಮಾಪಸು ಆಗಪಕ್ಕ ದಾವಾಪ್ಯಾಂಪ್ಲು, ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್‌ಪ್ಯಾಂಪ್ಲು ಹುಟ್ಟು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಪಕ್ಕ ಪಗ್ಯೆರೆ ಇತರವಿಧವಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವೊಬಲಗುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗಪುಕೊಳ್ಳುಪದಕ್ಕ ಸರಿಯಾ ತಮುಗೆಇದರಿಂದ ಅಧಿಕಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟಪ್ಪೆನೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವಾ ತಮುಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮುಖ್ಯಾಪ ಅಮುರಾಗಲೀ ನೆನಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನೆಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಮುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನೆಡಿಸಿಪಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 13-6-1912 } ಆಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ

ಈ ಪೊಳ್ಳಾಪ್ಯಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನೆನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರು, ನನ್ನ ಸಮುಖ್ಯವು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಯಿಮುಪಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ

ಈ ಜಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನ, ಪ್ಲೀಡರ್ಸ್‌ನ ಹುದ್ದೆಯವರು.

GOVT OF H H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN & REV. SECRETARIAT

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

No. 10071 mul 349-11-2

The accompanying Petition Registered No 7406 dated the 13 June 1912 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Seetaraya & other merchants of the Kunigal Kasaba, Complaining against the order of the Assistant of the Kunigal Munsif directing the levy of octroi duty outside the limits of the Munsi:,

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commr of the Tumkur Dt for report on the points urged in the petition with the Complete records of the Case

By Order,

for Secretary

BANGALORE,
27th June 1912

[P T O

GPS—4,000—23-8-10

By Order,

Secretary

19

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

T U M K U R.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

Dated 19th July 1912.

Despatched 23 Do

Received

General No 36

No.

Enclosure No. one file

Reporting on the petition of Seetiayya and others against the order of the President of the Kunigal Municipality on the levy of octroi duty on cloths during the prevalence of plague in the Kunigal town.

GPE—1,50,000—22-6-11

DIARY No
19/2-1913
SECTION Ml.
FILE No 349-11
SERIAL No 3

Office of the
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
Dated Tumkur, 19th July 1912.

From,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqr., B.A.,
Offg: Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To, K. R. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esqr., M.A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Office Memorandum No.10071/M1:349-11-2 dated the 27th June 1912 calling for a report on the petition of Seetiayya and others complaining against the order of the President of the Kunigal Municipality directing the levy of Octroi duty on cloths, I have the honor to state that during the period from October 1911 upto the beginning of March 1912, plague prevailed in the Kunigal town and the towns-people including the merchants evacuated the town and lived temporarily in sheds put up on Government lands and also in other lands within the limits of the Krishnasagara Agrahar village situated on the Bangalore - Mangalore road, a small distance outside Municipal limits During this period though these people camped outside the town, the usual Municipal services such as lighting and conservancy were provided in the localities wherein they had put up sheds Necessary watch and ward by the Police was also arranged for Municipal Administration went on as usual, but in regard to Octroi some of the cloth merchants who lived and traded in the sheds abstained from paying octroi and from getting cloths imported by them impressed with the Municipal seal as at other times

2 On this coming to the notice of the Amildar and Vice-President of the Kunigal Municipality, he issued notices to the merchants concerned

concerned that they should pay octroi and get the seals impressed as before. The merchants did not however comply with this demand, and produce their cloths and invoices before the authorities, though some of them sent to the Vice-President by Money order for deposit under protest the sums they declared as due towards octroi in respect of cloths sold by them during their stay in the sheds.

3 Thereupon some carts conveying cloths were seized while passing through the town and it is understood that on such cloth octroi was paid after some protest.

4 The merchants appealed to the Deputy Commissioner and President of the Municipalities and my predecessior in Office Mr. M. Shama Rao M.A., under whose instructions the Vice-President had acted, visited Kunigal in March 1912 and after hearing the appellant merchants in person directed that octroi should be levied even while the town had been temporarily vacated.

5 The present appeal is against this order.

6 It seems to me that the order appealed against is substantially just and equitable, for the sheds had been put up only just outside Municipal limits and derived the benefit of the usual Municipal services The technical objection taken by the appellants could also have been overcome if the Municipal limits had been temporarily extended so as to embrace the area occupied by the sheds. During the past several years there must have been numerous instances of Municipal towns being vacated on account of plague, yet there seems to have been no case till now in which objection was taken to the levy of octroi

7 During the prevalence of epidemics, the resources of local bodies both in respect of finances and staff are strained greatly in coping with the numerous difficulties that present themselves for solution and if on ingenious and technical objections such as those taken by the merchants of Kunigal the Municipal finances are seriously crippled, the resulting dislocation can only be imagined I am sure the merchants who now

object to the octroi, would have been the first to complain if on the ground of their sheds being outside Municipal limits, no lights had been put up near their sheds, no sweepers employed to keep the surroundings clean and no adequate arrangements made to safe-guard property both in the town and in the sheds. Though the last function may be said to be more a police duty than a Municipal duty, yet the assistance which Municipal lighting gives to efficient police patrolling at nights can hardly be over estimated.

¶ There would have been an equally loud outcry if owing to the fall in revenue during the evacuation, lighting or conservancy had to be given up after the people re-occupied the town.

¶ The entire file of vernacular papers on the subject is herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner.
absent from the station.

TBM.
2.

G R S 28]

3/2/13

B

349/11

File No

Serial No 4

Diary No of letter replied to 533

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the 191

Date of issue 6.2.13

Signed by MV JGR

READ— Petition dated the 13th June 1912 from Seetaiya and other merchants of Hunigal, Complaining against the order of the President of the Municipality of Tumkur directing the levy of octroi outside the

over

SUBJECT

municipal limits of the Municipal town when they were living in sheds during the prevalence of plague from October 1911 to March 1912.

2) Correspondence ending with letter no 36 dated the 19th July 1912 from the Dept. Commr. Trans. Ry. reporting that during the above period, the usual municipal services such as lighting and conservancy but were been provided where sheds had been put up ~~up~~ at a ~~consid~~ short distance outside the municipal limits & that the technical objection taken by the appellants' Counsel

(IPB—20,000—8-10-11)

G.R.S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

have been overcome if the 2nd limits had been temporarily extended to embrace the area occupied by sheds.

Order Hereon

No. 5891-B
ms 349-11 9,6213

In view of the fact that the [illegible] sheds put up outside the 2nd town of Jhansi enjoyed the benefits of the 2nd administration, Government consider in the circumstances of the case that the 2nd administration may be taken to have extended practically upto the Camps. & that the order of the President directing

the levy of octroi in streets outside the municipal town of Jhansi was just and equitable.

2) The advisability of temporarily extending the municipal limits of towns evacuated by plague so as to include a reasonable inconsiderable area occupied by sheds as recommended by Govt. of [illegible] Govt for consideration

Print
27/1/15

Secretary
25.1.15

7069
7/10/14

To Govt, Judicial Dept returning records except petn & address to Govt

" Govt of other Govts

GPB—15,000—19-11-11

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Local Fund and Sanitary (Municipal), dated 6th February 1913

READ—

Petition dated the 13th June 1912, from Sitaiya and other merchants of Kunigal, complaining against the order of the President of the Municipalities in the Tumkur District directing the levy of octroi outside the Municipal limits of the Kunigal town when they were living in sheds during the prevalence of plague from October 1911 to March 1912

2 Correspondence ending with letter No 36 dated the 19th July 1912 from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District reporting that during the above period, the usual Municipal services such as lighting and conservancy were provided where sheds had been put at a short distance outside the Municipal limits and that the technical objection taken by the appellants could have been overcome if the Municipal limits had been temporarily extended to embrace the area occupied by sheds

---

No 5891 8—Ml 349 11 4, DATED BANGALORE, 6TH FEBRUARY 1913

ORDER THEREON —In view of the fact that the people living in the sheds put up outside the Municipal town of Kunigal, enjoyed the benefits of the Municipal Administration, Government consider, in the circumstances of the case, that the Municipal Administration may be taken to have been practically shifted to the camps and that the order of the President directing the levy of octroi in sheds outside the Municipal town of Kunigal was just and equitable

2 The advisability of temporarily extending the Municipal limits of towns evacuated by plague so as to include the area occupied by sheds is commended to the Deputy Commissioners of Districts for consideration

K R SRINIVASIENGAR,
Offg Secy to Govt, Gen & Rev Depts

To—The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District returning records except petition addressed to Government
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts

Exd —c R

1912 13

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

LOCAL FUND AND SANITARY (MUNICIPAL)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 6th February 1913

No 5891-8 / M 349-11-4

Passes orders in the matter of the complaint of certain merchants of Kunigal *re* the levy of octroi outside the Municipal limits of the Kunigal town when they were living in sheds during the prevalence of plague in the town

---

GPB—50-27—200

2 Nos 3/2/13 cr

GRS 34]

No 5899 ml 3&9-11 5

GOVERNMENT OF H H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Office Memorandum

To

the 6-2- 191 3

With reference to their petition d 1912 complaining against the order of the President of the Municipalities in the Taluks of directing the levy of octroi duty outside the municipal limits of Hunsur when they were living in sheds during the prevalence of plague from Oct 1 to March 1912, Seetanya and other merchants of Hunsur are informed that Govt see no reason to interfere in the matter as ~~the Municipal administration has been practically accepted by the Courts~~ Conservancy & Sanitation arrangements

~~having been framed in the locality~~
~~where the above tiles have been put up.~~

By Order

Secretary

as the people living in the sheds outside the limits of Kurnool proposed the house of the above and as the said above may be taken to have been practically shifted to the Camps

Rs 69

7.10.12

~~(Thereafter Mr. H. Narayana Advocate)~~.